Newton's 1687 interpolation formula and Stirling numbers

A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski

High School of Mathematics and Applied PL - 15-021 Bialystok , ul.Kamienna 1 e-mail: kwandr@wp.pl extract from an article to appear in ASCM, Vol 12 no.1 see: ArXiv: math.CO/0411002 On u

November 4, 2005

Abstract

The Newton interpolation formula and divided differences appear helpful and inevitable along with umbra symbolic language in describing properties of general exponential polynomials of Touchard and their possible generalizations. See: the source epos: **Isaak Newton** *Philosophiae Naturalis Principia Mathematica*, Liber III, Lemma V, London (1687).

MCS numbers: 05A40, 11B73, 81S99 Key words: extended umbral calculus, Dobinski type formulas, Graves-Heisenberg-Weil algebra. ArXiv: math.CO/0411002, presented at the Gian-Carlo Rota Polish Seminar, http://ii.uwb.edu.pl/akk/index.html

1. In the *q*-extensions realm

.....

2. Beyond the *q*-extensions realm

The further consecutive ψ -umbral extension of Carlitz-Gould q-Stirling numbers $\binom{n}{k}_q$ and $\binom{n}{k}_q^{\sim}$ is realized two-fold way - one of which leads to a

surprise in contrary to the other way.

2.1. The first way

The first "easy way" consists in almost mnemonic sometimes replacement of q subscript by ψ after having realized that in equation (5) we are dealing with the specific case of the so called Comtet numbers [14, 15] (Comtet L. in *Nombres de Stirling generaux et fonctions symtriques* C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Series A, 275 (1972):747-750 formula (2) refers to **Wronski**). This array of Stirling-like numbers ${n \atop k}_{\psi}^{\sim}$ - "alephs de Wronski" as Comtet refers to it or these Comtet numbers in terminology of Wagner [14, 15] or as a matter of fact these (I. Newton *Philosophiae Naturalis Principia Mathematica*, Liber III, Lemma V, London (1687).)

Newton interpolation coefficients [13] for $e_n, n \ge 0$ i.e. divided differences $[0, 1_{\psi}, 2_{\psi}, ..., k_{\psi}; e_n]$ are defined accordingly as such coefficients - below.

$$x^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \\ k}_{\psi} \psi_{\underline{k}}(x), \quad n \ge 0,$$
(1)

i.e. equivalently (recall that $e_n(x) = x^n, n \ge 0$)

$${n \\ k}_{\psi}^{\sim} = [0, 1_{\psi}, 2_{\psi}, \dots, k_{\psi}; e_n] = \sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{e_n(l_{\psi})}{\psi_{\underline{k+1}}(l_{\psi})}, \quad n \ge 0,$$
 (Newton)

where

$$\psi_{\underline{k}}(x) = x(x - 1_{\psi})(x - 2_{\psi})...(x - [k - 1]_{\psi})$$

and $\psi_{\underline{s}}$ denotes the first derivative. Let then $f = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \ge 0}$ be an arbitrary sequence of polynomials. In the following we shall call S(f; n, k) defined below

$$[d_0, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k; f_n] \equiv S(f; \langle d_l \rangle_{l \ge 0}, n, k) \qquad (N - W - C \quad Stirling)$$

the Newton-Wronski-Comptet Stirling numbers (N-W-C for short)- compare with Appendix A.2.

The ψ^{\sim} - Stirling numbers $\left\{{n\atop k}\right\}_{\psi}^{\sim}$ defined by (10) are specification of N-W-

C Stirling array for which we naturally define $\psi^{\sim}\text{-exponential polynomials}$ $\varphi_n(x,\psi)$ as follows

$$\varphi_n^{\sim}(x,\psi) = \sum_{k=0}^n [0, 1_{\psi}, 2_{\psi}, ..., k_{\psi}; e_n] x^k, \quad n \ge 0. \qquad (\psi^{\sim} - exp - pol)$$

Note the trivial but important fact that in the N-W-C Stirling numbers case we are dealing with not equidistant nodes' interpolation in general and note that (Rescal) from the subsection 2.2. below is no more valid beyond q-extension case - both with an impact on the way to find out the Dobinski-like formulae - see more below.

As a consequence of (10) we have "for granted" the following extensions of recurrences for Stirling numbers of the second kind:

$$\binom{n+1}{k}_{\psi}^{\sim} = \binom{n}{k-1}_{\psi}^{\sim} + k_{\psi} \binom{n}{k}_{\psi}^{\sim}; \quad n \ge 0, k \ge 1,$$

$$(2)$$

where ${\binom{n}{0}}_{\psi}^{\sim} = \delta_{n,0}, \quad {\binom{n}{k}}_{\psi}^{\sim} = 0, \quad k > n;$ and the recurrence for ordinary generating function reads

$$G_{k_{\psi}}^{\sim}(x) = \frac{x}{1 - k_{\psi}} G_{k_{\psi}-1}^{\sim}(x), \quad k \ge 1,$$
(3)

where naturally

$$G_{k_{\psi}}^{\sim}(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \left\{ {n \atop k} \right\}_{\psi}^{\sim} x^{n}, \quad k \ge 1$$

from where one infers that

$$G_{k_{\psi}}^{\sim}(x) = \frac{x^{k}}{(1 - 1_{\psi}x)(1 - 2_{\psi}x)\dots(1 - k_{\psi}x)} \quad , \quad k \ge 0.$$
(4)

Hence we arrive in the standard extended text-book way [22] at the following explicit **new** formula (compare with (2.3) in [15])

$$[0, 1_{\psi}, 2_{\psi}, \dots, k_{\psi}; e_n] = \left\{ {n \atop k} \right\}_{\psi}^{\sim} = \frac{1}{k_{\psi}!} \sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k_{\psi}}{r_{\psi}} r_{\psi}^n; \quad n \ge k \ge 0, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k_\psi}{r_\psi} r_\psi^n; \quad n,k \ge 0$$

is readily recognized as the ψ -extension of the formula for surjections in its - after inclusion-exclusion principle had been applied - form.

Expanding the right hand side of (13) results in another explicit formula for these ψ -case Newton-Wronski-Comtet array of Stirling numbers of the second kind i.e. we have

$${\binom{n}{k}}_{\psi}^{\sim} = \sum_{1 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \dots \le i_{n-k} \le k} (i_1)_{\psi} (i_2)_{\psi} \dots (i_{n-k})_{\psi}; \qquad n \ge k \ge 0$$
(6)

or equivalently (compare with [13, 14])

$$\binom{n}{k}_{\psi}^{\sim} = \sum_{d_1+d_2+\ldots+d_k=n-k, \quad d_i \ge 0} 1_{\psi}^{d_1} 2_{\psi}^{d_2} \ldots k_{\psi}^{d_k}; \quad n \ge k \ge 0.$$
 (7)

N-W-C case ψ^{\sim} - **Stirling numbers** of the second kind being defined equivalently by (10), (*Newton*), (14), (15) or (16) yield N-W-C case ψ^{\sim} - **Bell numbers**

$$B_n^{\sim}(\psi) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ {n \atop k} \right\}_{\psi}^{\sim} = \sum_{k=0}^n [0, 1_{\psi}, 2_{\psi}, ..., k_{\psi}; e_n], \qquad n \ge 0 \tag{B^{\sim}}.$$

Naturally \exists ! functional L^{\sim} such that on the basis of persistent root polynomials $\psi_k(x)$ it takes the value 1:

$$L^{\sim}(\psi_{\underline{k}}(x)) = 1, \quad k \ge 0.$$

Then from (10) we get an analog of (3)

$$B_n^{\sim}(\psi) = L^{\sim}(x^n) \tag{L}^{\sim}).$$

Problem: which distribution the functional L^{\sim} is related to is an open technical question by now. More - the recurrence for $B_n^{\sim}(\psi)$ is already quite involved and complicated for the *q*-extension case (see: the first section)- and no acceptable readable form of recurrence for the ψ -extension case is known to us by now.

Nevertheless after adapting the standard text-book method [23] we have the following formulae for two variable ordinary generating function for ${n \atop k}_{\psi}^{\sim}$ Stirling numbers of the second kind and the ψ -exponential generating function for $B_n^{\sim}(\psi)$ Bell numbers

$$C_{\psi}^{\sim}(x,y) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \varphi_n^{\sim}(\psi,y) x^n, \tag{8}$$

where the $\psi\text{-}$ exponential polynomials $\varphi_n^\sim(\psi,y)$

$$\varphi_n^\sim(\psi,y) = \sum_{k=0}^n \Big\{ {n \atop k} \Big\}_\psi^\sim y^k$$

do satisfy the recurrence (compare with formulas (28) in Touchard's [24] from 1956)

$$\varphi_n^{\sim}(\psi, y) = [y(1 + \partial_{\psi}]\varphi_{n-1}^{\sim}(\psi, y) \qquad n \ge 1,$$

hence

$$\varphi_n^{\sim}(\psi, y) = [y(1 + \partial_{\psi}]^n 1, \qquad n \ge 0.$$

The linear operator ∂_{ψ} acting on the algebra of formal power series is being called (see: [1, 2] and references therein) the " ψ -derivative" as $\partial_{\psi}y^n = n_{\psi}y^{n-1}$.

The ψ^{\sim} - exponential generating function

$$B_{\psi}^{\sim}(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} B_n^{\sim}(\psi) \frac{x^n}{n_{\psi}!} \qquad (\psi^{\sim} - e.g.f.)$$

for $B_n^{\sim}(\psi)$ Bell numbers - after cautious adaptation of the method from the Wilf's generatingfunctionology book [23] can be seen to be given by the following **new** formula

$$B^{\sim}_{\psi}(x) = \sum_{r \ge 0} \epsilon(\psi, r) \frac{e_{\psi}[r_{\psi}x]}{r_{\psi}!}$$
(9)

where (see: [1,2] and references therein)

$$e_{\psi}(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{x^n}{n_{\psi}!}$$

while

$$\epsilon(\psi, r) = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-r}}{(k_{\psi} - r_{\psi})!}$$
(10)

and the **new** Dobinski - like formula for the ψ -extensions here now reads

$$B_n^{\sim}(\psi) = \sum_{r \ge 0} \epsilon(\psi, r) \frac{r_{\psi}^n}{r_{\psi}!}.$$
(11)

The ψ^{\sim} -exponential polynomials are therefore given correspondingly by

$$\varphi_n^{\sim}(\psi, x) = \sum_{r \ge 0} \epsilon(\psi, r) \frac{r_{\psi}^n}{r_{\psi}!} x^r. \qquad (\psi^{\sim} - exp - pol - II)$$

In the case of Gauss q-extended choice of $\langle \frac{1}{n_{q!}} \rangle_{n \geq 0}$ admissible sequence of extended umbral operator calculus equations (19) and (20) take the form

$$\epsilon(q,r) = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-r}}{(k-r)_q!} q^{-\binom{r}{2}}$$
(12)

and the **new** N-W-C case q^{\sim} -Dobinski formula is given by

$$B_n^{\sim}(q) = \sum_{r \ge 0} \epsilon(q, r) \frac{r_q^n}{r_q!},\tag{13}$$

which for q = 1 becomes the Dobinski formula from 1887 [4]. Note the appearance of re-scaling factor $q^{-\binom{r}{2}}$ in (21). In its absence we would get **not** q^{\sim} -Dobinski but q-Dobinski formula

$$B_n(q) = \frac{1}{\exp_q(1)} \sum_{0 \le k} \frac{k_q^n}{k_q!} \qquad (q - Dobinski)$$

- see [15] and formula (5.28) there coinciding with N-W-C case of Dobinski formula after re-scaling in correspondence with (Rescal) below in subsection 2.2. Correspondingly we would the have **not** $(q^{\sim} - exp - pol)$ formula but (q - exp - pol) formula:

$$\varphi_n(x,q) = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{\binom{k}{2}} [0, 1_q, 2_q, \dots, k_q; e_n] x^k = \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \binom{n}{k}_q x^k. \qquad (q - exp - pol) \right\}$$

The interpretation problem.

In the inversion-dual way to our equation (10) above we define the ψ^{\sim} -Stirling numbers of the first kind as coefficients in the following expansion

$$\psi_{\underline{k}}(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{k} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{\psi}^{\sim} x^{r}$$
(14)

where - recall $\psi_{\underline{k}}(x) = x(x - 1_{\psi})(x - 2_{\psi})...(x - [k - 1]_{\psi})$. (Attention: see equations (10)-(16) in [8] and note the difference with the present definition). Therefore from the above we infer that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{k} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{\psi}^{\sim} \begin{Bmatrix} r \\ l \end{Bmatrix}_{\psi}^{\sim} = \delta_{k,l}.$$
(15)

Another natural counterpart to ψ^{\sim} -Stirling numbers of the second are ψ^{c} -Stirling numbers of the first kind defined here down as coefficients in the following expansion ("*c*" because of cycles in non-extended case)

$$\psi_{\overline{k}}(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{k} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{\psi}^{c} x^{r}$$
(16)

where - now $\psi_{\overline{k}}(x) = x(x+1_{\psi})(x+2_{\psi})...(x+[k-1]_{\psi})$. These are to be studied elsewhere.

On interpretation. For possible **unified** combinatorial interpretations of binomial coefficients of both kinds, the Stirling numbers of both kinds and the Gaussian coefficients of the first and second kind - i.e for the specific choices of $\psi = \langle \frac{1}{n_{\psi!}} \rangle_{n \ge 0}$ - see [27, 28]. As for q-analogue of Stirling cycle numbers see [29] and Sect. 5.3. in [30]. The problem of eventual combinatorial interpretation of other ψ -extensions (vide Fibonomial - for example) - remains opened.

2.2. The second way.

..... The selective comparison of the presented umbral extensions of Stirling numbers, Bell numbers and Dobinskilike formulas with other existing extensions (as well as relevant information in brief) serves the purpose of seeking analogies and is to be find in the Appendix that follows now.

Appendix - for remarks, discussion and brief comparative review of ideas.

A.1. Notation.

.....

A.2. Discussion, remarks, questions.

..... Then due to the recursion for Stirling numbers of the second kind and the identity (operators act on P)

$$\hat{x}(D+1) \equiv \frac{1}{\exp(x)}(\hat{x}D)\exp(x)$$

one defines in GHW - algebra manner the exponential polynomials

$$n \ge 0,$$
 $\varphi_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n {n \\ k} x^k$ (ExPol)

introduced by Acturialist J.F. Steffensen [39, 40] (see: Bell's "Exponential polynomials" in umbra-symbolic language [41] p. 265 and his symbolic formula (4.7) for now Bell numbers). These exponential polynomials were substantially investigated by Touchard in Blissard umbra-symbolic language [24]. Here now comes the GHW-definition [38] of these basic polynomials

$$\varphi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\exp(x)} (\hat{x}D)^n \exp(x)$$

resulting in the formula which becomes Dobinski one for x = 1 i.e.

$$\varphi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\exp(x)} \sum_{0 \le k} \frac{k^n x^k}{k!}.$$

Note: The q-case as well as ψ -case formal mnemonic counterpart formulae are automatically arrived at with the mnemonic attaching of q or ψ indices

to nonnegative numbers [1, 2] - vide:

$$\varphi_n(x,\psi) = \frac{1}{\exp_{\psi}(x)} \sum_{0 \le k} \frac{k_{\psi}^n x^k}{k_{\psi}!}$$
(17)

which for $\psi = \langle \frac{1}{n_q!} \rangle_{n \ge 0}$ and x = 1 becomes the well known q-Dobinski formula as of course $\varphi_n(x = 1, q) = B_n(q)$ - see in [15] the formula (5.28) and note that this is **not** q^{\sim} -Dobinski formula (22) as noticed right after (22). As for eventual second way's ψ -extensions beyond the q-extension case where the *rescaling* does not take place - we are left with an opened problem how to eventually find the way to get round this inspiring obstacle. Perhaps instead of the second beyond the q-extension way we might follow Alexander the great in his Gordian Knot problem solution and define $S(\psi, n, k)$ as follows (whenever one may prove that the object being defined is really a polynomial):

$$\varphi_n(x,\psi) = \sum_{k=0}^n S(\psi,n,k) x^k = \frac{1}{\exp_{\psi}(x)} \sum_{0 \le k} \frac{k_{\psi}^n x^k}{k_{\psi}!}. \qquad (S(\psi) - exp - pol)$$

An alternative good idea perhaps would be an attempt to ψ -extend the celebrated Newton interpolation formula (use ∂_{ψ} instead D, then exp_{ψ} instead of exp and then you will be faced with ψ -Leibniz rule application problem though... see [1, 2, 33] for Leibnitz rules). Let us then make - also for the sake of comparison with existing knowledge - let us then make us wonder on the intrinsic presence and assistance of Newton interpolation which corresponds to the first "easy" way as described in Subsection 2.1.

The intrinsic presence and assistance of Newton interpolation formula in derivation of Dobinski formula for exponential polynomials and their binomial analogues was underlined and used in [42] for specific presentation of the q = 1 case from the umbral point of view of the classical finite operator calculus. In [42] a Dobinski-like formula was derived being as a matter of fact the particular ("binomial") case of formula (30) from Touchard's 1956 year paper [24]. In more detail. Choosing any binomial polynomial sequence $\langle b_n \rangle_{n>0}$ consider its Newton interpolation formula

$$b_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n [0, 1, 2, ..., k; b_n] x^{\underline{k}}.$$

Then apply an umbral operator sending the binomial basis $\langle x^{\underline{n}} \rangle_{\underline{n\geq 0}}$ of delta operator Δ to the binomial basis $\langle x^{\underline{n}} \rangle_{\underline{n\geq 0}}$ of delta operator D. Then use

$$[0, 1, 2, \dots, k; b_n] = \frac{\Delta^k b_n|_{x=0}}{k!} = \sum_{l=0}^k \frac{(-1)^{k-l} b_n(l)}{(k-l)! l!} \quad (Newton - Stirling)$$

so as to arrive (thanks to binomial convolution) at Dobinski like formula from [42] i.e.

$$b_n(\varphi(x)) = \frac{1}{\exp(x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n(k)x^k}{k!},$$

where φ is the umbral symbol satisfying [24]

$$\varphi_{n+1} = x(\varphi+1)^n, \quad \varphi^{\underline{k}} = x^k.$$
 (Touchard)

In order to see that this is just the particular ("binomial") case of umbrasymbolic formula (30) from Touchard's 1956 year paper [24] just choose in Touchard formula (30) the *arbitrary* polynomial f to be any binomial one $b_n = f$. Then $f(\varphi) = b_n(\varphi) = b_n(\varphi(x))$ is binomial also and we have

$$f(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\exp(x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n(k)x^k}{k!}.$$
 (Dobinski – Touchard)

Equidistant nodes Newton's interpolation array of coefficients $[0, 1, 2, ..., k; b_n]$ - here the connection constants of the general exponential polynomial $p_n(x) = b_n(\varphi(x))$ are to be called in the following the **Newton-Stirling** numbers of the second kind and are consequently given by

$$p_n(x) = \frac{1}{\exp(x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n(k)x^k}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^n [0, 1, 2, \dots, k; b_n]x^k, \qquad (N - S - Dob)$$

where $\langle b_n \rangle_{n \ge 0}$ is any sequence of polynomials. These are - in their turn - the special case of N-W-C Stirling numbers.

Coherent States' Example I. Take the $b_m(x) = f(x)$ in the (*Dobinski-Touchard*) formula to be of the form resulting from normal ordering problem (see A.3.II. - below) i.e. let (see: [10])

$$f(x) = b_{ns}(x; r, s) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} [x + (j-1)(r-s)]^{\underline{s}}$$

Then we get (2.8) from [10] i.e.

$$[0, 1, 2, \dots, k; b_{ns}(\dots; r, s)] = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{l=s}^{k} (-1)^{k-l} b_n s(l; r, s) {\binom{k}{l}} \equiv S_{r,s}(n, k)$$

becomes the definition of the generalized Stirling numbers (see A.3.II. below), which appear to be special case of general Newton-Stirling numbers of the second kind. (Here $b_{ns}(...;r,s)(x) = b_{ns}(x;r,s)$.) Naturally the Dobinski-like formula (2.1) from [10] for exponential polynomials determined by $[0, 1, 2, ..., k; b_{ns}(..;r,s] = S_{r,s}(n,k)$ is special case of (N-S-Dob) Dobinski-like formula with counting adapted to the choice $f = b_{ns}$. Along with Bell numbers' sequence or Bessel numbers's sequence this special case of Newton-Bell numbers' sequence

$$B_{r,s}(n) = \sum_{l=s}^{ns} S_{r,s}(n,k)$$

is a moment sequence [43].

Example II The next example of Newton-Stirling numbers $d_{n,k}$ comes from the paper [44] on interpolation series related to the Abel-Goncharov problem. There the divided difference functional Δ_k is applied to e_n yielding $d_{n,k}$ accordingly:

$$\Delta_k e_n = [0, \frac{1}{k}, \frac{2}{k}, \dots, \frac{k-1}{k}, 1; e_n] = d_{n,k}.$$

The general rules for Newton-Stirling arrays allow us to notice that

$$d_{n,k} = [0, \frac{1}{k}, \frac{2}{k}, \dots, \frac{k-1}{k}, 1; e_n] = \frac{k^k}{k!} \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} \frac{r^n}{k^n}; \quad n \ge k \ge 0,$$

hence for corresponding exponential polynomials we have

$$\varphi_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{k^k}{k!} \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} \frac{r^n}{k^n} x^k,$$

in accordance with the fact [44] that $k^{n-k}d_{n,k} = {n \\ k}$. Derivation of the Dobinski-like formula we leave as an exercise.

On ψ -extension. A ψ -extension of the above Touchard's symbolic definition of exponential polynomials would start with the defining formula

$$\varphi_{n+1} = x(\varphi + \psi 1)^n, \quad \varphi^{\underline{k}} = x^k. \qquad (\psi - Exp - Pol)$$

resulting in analogous umbra-symbolic identities and with corresponding Dobinski-like formula as (35) below, where $b_n = e_n$. Compare these with (10) from where we have for this case of $b_n(x) = e_n(x) = x^n, n \ge 0$ the Newton interpolation formula

$$x^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} [0, 1_{\psi}, 2_{\psi}, ..., k_{\psi}; e_{n}] \psi_{\underline{k}}(x), \quad n \ge 0.$$

For the meaning of the ψ -shift " $+_{\psi}$ " see [1, 2, 26, 31, 33]. This we shall develop elsewhere.

.....

A.5.3.Remark The relevance of Schlömilch's work [94] from **1852** to N-W-C Stirling numbers is taken down here with pleasure. Another interesting paper refereeing directly to the original Dobinski's work [4] and Dobinski's point of view is the Fekete's paper [95] from 1999.

Acknowledgements Discussions with participants of Gian-Carlo Rota Polish Seminar

http://ii.uwb.edu.pl/akk/index.html - are appreciated.

References

- A. K. Kwaśniewski Main theorems of extended finite operator calculus Integral Transforms and Special Functions, 14 No 6 (2003), 499-516.
- [2] A. K. Kwaśniewski On Simple Characterizations of Sheffer psipolynomials and Related Propositions of the Calculus of Sequences, Bulletin de la Soc. des Sciences et de Lettres de Lodz, **52** Ser. Rech. Deform. 36 (2002), 45-65. ArXiv: math.CO/0312397.
- [3] Rota G. C. The number of partitions of a set Amer. Math. Monthly 71(1964), 498-504.
- [4] G. Dobinski Summierung der Reihe S für m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,Grunert Archiv (Arch. Math. Phys) 61(1877), 333-336.
- [5] Di Nardo E. e Senato D. Umbral nature of the Poisson random variables, Algebraic Combinatorics and Computer science: a tribute to Gian-Carlo Rota (eds. H. Crapo, D. Senato) Springer-Verlag, (2001), 245-266.
- [6] J. Katriel Bell numbers and coherent states Physics Letters A, 273 (3) (2000), 159-161.
- [7] M. Schork On the combinatorics of normal ordering bosonic operators and deformations of it J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36 (2003), 4651-4665.
- [8] J.Katriel, M. Kibler Normal ordering for deformed boson operators and operator-valued deformed Stirling numbers J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.25 (1992), 2683-26-91.
- [9] P. Blasiak, K.A.Penson, A. I. Solomon Dobinski-type Relations and Log-normal distribution J. Phys. A Gen.36 L273 (2003).

- [10] P. Blasiak, K.A.Penson, A. I. Solomon The Boson Normal Ordering problem and Generalized Bell Numbers Annals of Combinatorics 7 (2003), 127-139.
- [11] P. Blasiak, K.A.Penson, A. I. Solomon Extended Bell and Stirling numbers from hypergeometric exponentiation J.Integ. Seq. 4 article 01.1.4 (2001).
- [12] S.C. Milne A q-analog of restricted growth functions, Dobinski's equality, and Charlier polynomials ,Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 245 (1978), 89-118.
- [13] I. Newton Philosophiae Naturalis Principia Mathematica, Liber III, Lemma V, London (1687).
- [14] Carl G. Wagner Partition Statistics and q-Bell Numbers (q = -1), Journal of Integer Sequences 7(2004) Article 04.1.1
- [15] Carl G. Wagner Generalized Stirling and Lah numbers Discrete Mathematics 160 (1996), 199-218.
- [16] Wachs, D. White p,q-Stirling numbers and set partitions Statistics, J. Combin. Theory (A) 56(1991), 27-46.
- [17] R.Ehrenborg Determinants involving q-Stirling numbers, Advances in Applied Mathematics 31(2003), 630-642.
- [18] Bennett Curtis, Dempsey Kathy J., Sagan Bruce E. Partition Lattice q-Analogs Related to q-Stirling Numbers Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics 03(3),(1994), 261-283.
- [19] Bruce E. Sagan A maj static for set partitions European J. Combin. 12, (1991), 69-79.
- [20] Warren P. Johnson Some applications of the q-exponential formula Discrete Mathematics 157 (1996), 207-225.
- [21] J. Cigler A new q-Analogue of Stirling Numbers Sitzunber. Abt. II 201(1992), 97-109.
- [22] A. K. Kwaśniewski q-Poisson,q-Dobinski, q-Rota and q-coherent statesa second fortieth anniversary memoir, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc.7 (2), (2004), 95-98.
- [23] H.S.Wilf Generatingfunctionology Boston: Academic Press, 1990
- [24] J. Touchard Nombres Exponentiells et Nombres de BernoulliCanad. J. Math. bf 8 (1956), 305-320.

- [25] H. W. Gould q-Stirling numbers of the first and second kind Duke Math. J. 28 (1961), 281-289.
- [26] A. K. Kwaśniewski On extended finite operator calculus of Rota and quantum groups Integral Transforms and Special Functions 2 No4 (2001),333-340.
- [27] Bernd Voigt A common generalization of binomial coefficients, Stirling numbers and Gaussian coefficients Publ. I.R.M.A. Strasbourg, 1984, 229/S-08 Actes 8e Seminaire Lotharingien, p. 87-89. http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/ slc/opapers/s08voigt.pdf
- [28] J. Konvalina A Unifed Interpretation of the Binomial Coefficients, the Stirling Numbers and the Gaussian Coefficients, The Am. Math. Month.
 107 (2000), 901.
- [29] J. P. S. Kung The cycle structure of a linear transformation over a finite field, Linear Algebra Appl. 36 (1981), 141-155.
- [30] Kent E. Morrison q-exponential families The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 11 (2004), No R36
- [31] A. K. Kwaśniewski Towards ψ-extension of Finite Operator Calculus of Rota Rep. Math. Phys. 48 No3 (2001), 305-342.
- [32] Graves C. On the principles which regulate the interchange of symbols in certain symbolic equations Proc. Royal Irish Academy 6 (1853-1857), 144-152.
- [33] A. K. Kwaśniewski, E. Borak Extended finite operator calculus an example of algebraization of analysis Central European Journal of Mathematics 2 (5) (2005), 767-792.
- [34] L. Carlitz On arrays of numbers Amer. J. Math 54 (1932), 739-752.
- [35] W. Lang On generalizations of the Stirling number triangles, J.Integer Sequences **3** (2000), 00.2.4.
- [36] A. K. Kwaśniewski Cauchy \hat{q}_{ψ} -identity and \hat{q}_{ψ})-Fermat matrix via \hat{q}_{ψ} muting variables of \hat{q}_{ψ} -Extended Finite Operator Calculus arXiv:math.CO/0403107 v1 5 March 2004
- [37] A. K. Kwaśniewski ψ -Pascal and \hat{q}_{ψ} -Pascal matrices an accessible factory of one source identities and resulting applications, Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, **10** No2 (2005), 111-120.
- [38] J. Cigler Operatormethoden f
 ür q-Identten Monatsh. Math. 88,(1979), 87-105.

- [39] J. F. Steffensen Some recent researches in the theory of statistics and actuarial science Published for the Institute of Actuaries by the Cambridge Press (1930), 29-34.
- [40] Steffensen, J.F. (1950) Interpolation, Chelsea, New York (reprinted from 1927)
- [41] E.T. Bell *Exponential polynomials* Ann. Math. **35** No 2 (1934), 258-277.
- [42] A. Lupas Dobinski-type formula for binomial polynomials Studia Univ.Babes Bolyai Math. 33 no 2 ,(1988), 40-44.
- [43] S.Yassai Examples of moments problems related with some combinatorial numbers CNRS U.M.R. 7599, "Probabilits et Modeles Alatoires", Prpublication numro: PMA-855 2003-10-20, http://www.proba.jussieu.fr/mathdoc/textes/PMA-855.pdf
- [44] K. Dzhaparidze On Interpolation Series Related to the Abel-Goncharov Problem, with Applications to Arithmetic-Geometric Mean Relationship and Hellinger Integrals Indag. Mathem., N.S., 12 (1) (2001), 55-72.
- [45] M.Mendez Plethystic exponential polynomials and plethystic Stirling numbers, Stud. Appl. Math.96No 1 (1996), 1-8.
- [46] S.A. Joni ,G. C. Rota, B. Sagan From sets to functions: three elementary examples Discrete Mathematics 37 (1981), 193-2002.
- [47] E.Steingrmsson Statistics on Ordered Partitions of Sets math.chalmers univ.preprint (1999) http://www.math.chalmers.se/ einar/preprints.html
- [48] I.M. Gessel A q-analog of the exponential formula Discrete Math. 40 (1982), 69-80
- [49] S.C. Milne Restricted growth functions, rank row matching of partition lattices and q-Stirling numbers, Adv. in Math. 43 (1982), 173-196.
- [50] A. K. Kwaśniewski Fibonomial cumulative connection constants Bulletin of the ICA 44 (2005), 81-92. ArXiv: math.CO/0406006 1 Jun 2004
- [51] G.T. Williams Numbers Generated by the Function $e^{(e^x-1)}$ The American Mathematical Monthly, **52** No. 6. (1945), 323-327.
- [52] Lunnon, W. F., Pleasants, P.A.B. Stephens, N.M. Arithmetic properties of Bell numbers to a composite modulus. I. Acta Arith. bf 35 no. 1 (1979,) 1-16.
- [53] H.W. Becker, John Riordan The arithmetic of Bell and Stirling numbersAmer. J. Math. bf 70 (1948), 385-394.

- [54] J. Anne Gertsch, Alain M. Robert Some congruences concerning the Bell numbers Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. 3 (1996), 467-475
- [55] M. Ward: A calculus of sequences, Amer.J.Math. Vol.58, 1936, pp.255-266
- [56] G. Markowsky Differential operators and the theory of binomial enumeration J.Math.Anal.Appl. 63 (1978), 145-155.
- [57] A. Di Bucchianico, D. LoebSequences of Binomial type with Persistent RootsJ. Math. Anal. Appl. 199 (1996), 39-58.
- [58] E. Bender, J. Goldman Enumerative uses of generating functions, Indiana Univ. Math.J. 20 1971), 753-765.
- [59] R. Stanley Exponential structures, Studies in Applied Math. 59 (1978), 73-82.
- [60] A.K.Kwaśniewski *Cobweb posets as noncommutative prefabs* ArXiv: math.CO/0503286 (2005)
- [61] A.K.Kwašniewski Prefab posets' Whitney numbers ArXiv: math.CO/0510027 3 Oct (2005)
- [62] A. K. Kwaśniewski, Fibonacci-triad sequences Advan. Stud. Contemp. Math. 9 (2) (2004),109-118.
- [63] M. A. Mendez, P. Blasiak, K. A. Penson Combinatorial approach to generalized Bell and Stirling numbers and boson normal ordering problem arXiv: quant-ph/0505180 May 2005
- [64] A.I. Solomon, P. Blasiak, G. Duchamp, A. Horzela, K.A. Penson Combinatorial Physics, Normal Order and Model Feynman Graphs Proceedings of Symposium 'Symmetries in Science XIII', Bregenz, Austria, 2003 arXiv: quant-ph/0310174 v1 29 Oct 2003
- [65] Bender, C.M, Brody, D.C, and Meister, BK Quantum field theory of partitions Journal of Mathematical Physics, 40, (1999), 3239-3245.
- [66] Bender, CM, Brody, DC, and Meister, BK (2000) Combinatorics and field theory Twistor Newsletter 45, 36-39.
- [67] A Dimakis, F Mller-Hoissen and T Striker Umbral calculus, discretization, and quantum mechanics on a lattice J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29, (1996) 6861-6876
- [68] D. Levi, P. Tempesta and P. Winternitz Umbral Calculus, Difference Equations and the Discrete Schroedinger Equation J.Math.Phys. 45 (2004) 4077-4105.

- [69] D.E. Loeb The iterated logarithmic algebra Adv. Math. 86 (1991), 155-234.
- [70] S. M. Roman The Logarithmic Binomial Formula, Amer. Math.Monthly 99, (1992), 641-648.
- [71] S. M. Roman The Harmonic Logarithms and the Binomial Formula, J.Comb. Theory, Series A 63 (1993), 143-163
- [72] A. K. Kwaśniewski The logarithmic Fib-binomial formula Advan. Stud. Contemp. Math. 9 No. 1 (2004), 19-26.
- [73] D.E. Loeb and G.-C. Rota Formal power series of logarithmic type Adv. Math. 75 (1988), 1-118.
- [74] A.N. Kholodov The umbral calculus on logarithmic algebras Acta Appl. Math.19 (1990), 55-76.
- [75] F.T. Howard, Degenerate weighted Stirling numbers Discrete Math. 57 no. 1-2, (1985), 45-58.
- [76] Hsu L.C. and Yu H. Q. Northeast. Math. J. 13 no. 4, (1997), 399-405.
- [77] Hsu,L.C. and Shiue,P.J.S. A unified approach to generalized Stirling numbers Adv.in Appl.Math. 20 no. 3 (1998), 366-384.
- [78] Remmel, JB, Wachs, ML, it Rook theory, generalized Stirling numbers and (p,q)-analogues Am. J. Phys. 72(2004), 1397.
- [79] M. Schork Fermionic relatives of Stirling and Lah numbers J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36(2003), 10391-10398.
- [80] Parthasarathy, R Fermionic Numbers and Their Roles in Some Physical Problems (2004) arXiv.org: quant-ph/0403216 to appear in Phys.Lett.A
- [81] P. Blasiak , G. Dattoli, A. Horzela , A. Penson Representations of Monomiality Principle with Sheffer-type Polynomials and Boson Normal Ordering ArXiv 2 Apr 2005
- [82] O.V. Viskov "On One Result of George Boole" (in Russian) Integral Transforms and Special Functions-Bulletin vol. 1 No2 (1997) p. 2-7
- [83] N.P. Cakic, G.V. Milovanovic On generalized Stirling numbers and polynomials, Math. Balkanica (N.S.) 18, (2004), 241-248.
- [84] D'Ocagne M. Sur une classe de nombres remarquables American J. Math. 9, no. 4, (1887), 353-380.
- [85] A. M. Chak A Class of Polynomials and Generalized Stirling Numbers Duke Math.Jour. bf 23 (1956):45-55.

- [86] Letterio Toscano, L. Toscano Numeri di Stirling generalizzati, operatori differenziali e polinomi ipergeometrici Pontifica Academia Scientarum, Commentationes, 3 (1939):721-757
- [87] Letterio Toscano, Sulla iterazione dell'operatore xD Rendiconti di Matematica e delle sue applicazioni. 5 (VIII) (1949): 337-350
- [88] L. Toscano Generalizzazioni dei polinomi di Laguerre e dei polinomi attuariali Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma. (2) 11 (1970): 191-226.
 bibitem89 L. Carlitz. "Weighted Stirling Numbers of the First and Second kind I". The Fibonacci Quarterly 18(1980), 147-162.
- [89] L. Carlitz. Weighted Stirling Numbers of the First and Second kind II. The Fibonacci Quarterly 18 (1980), 242-257.
- [90] L. Carlitz. "Degenerate Stirling, Bernoulli and Eulerian Numbers." Utilitas Math. 15 (1979), 51-88.
- [91] P. N. Shrivastava. On Generalized Stirling Numbers and Polynomials Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (2) 11 (1970): 233-237.
- [92] R.C. Singh Chandel. Generalized Stirling Numbers and Polynomials Publ. Inst. Math. N.S. 22 (36)1977:43-48.
- [93] V. P. Sinha , G. K. Dhawan. On Generalized Stirling Numbers and Polynomials Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (2) 10 (1969): 95-100.
- [94] O. Schlömilch Recherches sur les coefficient des facults analytiques J. Reine Angew. Math. 44 (1852) 344-355.
- [95] Antal E. Fekete Apropos Bell and Stirling Numbers Crux Mathematicorum with Mathematical Mayhem 25 No. 5 (1999), 274-281.